WHITE PAPER



# SMART SRI CORPORATE BOND INVESTING: COMBINING ESG CRITERIA WITH FACTOR INVESTING IN FIXED INCOME

Koen Van de Maele, CFA & Maxime Moro



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	2
2.	SRI INVESTING	3
3.	PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION	4
	3.1 Fundamental Weighting	5
	3.2 Factor Investing	6
4.	PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER	9
	4.1 Historical Performance	9
5.	CONCLUSION	10
6.	REFERENCES	12

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Both Sustainable & Responsible Investing (SRI) and Factor Investing are increasingly gaining the investor's attention. Sustainable & Responsible Investing integrates longer-term business opportunities and risks, which, hence, are relevant factors to integrate into the portfolio. By exploiting some behavioural biases or structural market segmentations, Factor Investing leads to superior risk-adjusted returns. Although Factor Investing has not been very common in the corporate bond market, an increasing number of academic studies indicate its value in the Fixed Income space. This paper proposes a portfolio construction methodology that combines both elements. Firstly, Candriam's SRI methodology will be described and its effect on the portfolio shown. Secondly, a 2-step portfolio construction methodology will be outlined: a fundamental weighting of the eligible issuers, and the implementation of factor tilts. The effect and added value of each of these steps will be illustrated. Thirdly, the different steps are combined in one portfolio that will turn out to have superior risk-adjusted returns. The last section concludes and additionally illustrates the out-of-sample performance of this index methodology. Across this document, the proposed index methodology will always be applied to the EUR and USD corporate bond market to test its robustness and avoid any over-fitting. All simulations start in February 2006 and end in December 2015. The out-of-sample return characteristics since the start of 2016 are shown in the last section.

### 2. SRI INVESTING

Candriam's SRI methodology ranks companies per sector and per geographical region (Europe, Asia Pacific and North America) based on Micro and Macro analyses. The Micro Analysis procedure assesses the company's management of customers, employees, the environment, suppliers, investors and the broad society. The Macro Analysis procedure measures the company's exposure to global sustainability trends such as climate change, resource depletion, developing economies, demographic evolutions, health & wellness and interconnectivity. The results of the Macro and Micro analyses are combined and the companies ranked per sector. The eligible companies are composed of the Top 70% of businesses within their sector in the respective universe. Additionally, a norms-based analysis based on an assessment of how companies comply with the ten principles of the United Nations Global Compact and a verification of controversial activities such as armaments, gambling, tobacco and nuclear activity will eliminate other companies. More information on Candriam's SRi methodology can be found on *www.candriam.com* 

To assess the financial impact of the SRI screening, SRI universe returns are compared with non-SRI universe returns (all portfolios are equally weighted and rebalanced on a monthly basis). The graphs below illustrate that the average return of the SRI companies exceeds that of the non-SRI companies. The graphs show the performance in absolute return and in excess return versus duration-matched government bonds.

This SRI universe is the starting point for the portfolio construction algorithm, which determines the weightings of these bonds. Non-SRI companies are not eligible for the portfolio.



Sources: Candriam, BofAML, Factset



Sources: Candriam, BofAML, Factset

### 3. PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Most commonly used bond indices are based on outstanding debt amounts and their market price. These so-called market capitalization indices have important disadvantages. The more a company issues debt, the higher the allocation in such an index! Obviously, this leads to high proportions of highly indebted sectors. Additionally, the duration of the market capitalization index is entirely driven by debt issuers. The lower the yields, the longer the average maturity borrowers would prefer. But from an investor's point of view, the opposite is preferred. Hence one can intuitively feel that market capitalization indices are sub-optimal, even more than the equivalent market capitalization equity indices.

These disadvantages are illustrated by the graphs below. The graphs show that large debtors take up an important part of the index. Additionally, highly indebted companies are overrepresented in market capitalization bond indices.



Sources: Candriam, BofAML, Bloomberg



Sources: Candriam, Bloomberg

As an alternative, in this document individual bond weightings are determined via a 2-step process. First, a fundamental weighting for the issuer is determined that reflects the importance of the company based on common economic measures. Bond weightings are then tilted to reflect Value, Low Volatility, Size and Momentum factors. Below, we outline each of these steps, illustrating their impact on risks and returns.

#### **3.1 Fundamental Weighting**

A company's importance within the economy can be measured in many different ways. Candriam believes that elements of the balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement should be integrated to have a meaningful assessment of the size of a company. Hence the equally weighted average of the size of the balance sheet, the total revenue, total income and cash flow generation are taken to determine a fair initial weighting for all companies.

In order to guarantee a sufficient level of liquidity and to avoid too concentrated a weighting on a unique bond from an Issuer with a high Fundamental Weighting, the number of bonds per issuer is capped at 10 and each Bond weighting is capped at 0.50% and the overall portfolio weighting then scaled to 100%. The graphs below show the performance of this methodology in absolute return and in excess return versus duration-matched government bonds.



Sources: Candriam, BofAML



Sources: Candriam, BofAML

#### **3.2 Factor Investing**

Factor investing (sometimes called Smart Beta) has gained in popularity in recent years. In essence, the technique is not new. Fama and French laid the foundations for factor investing in stock markets already in 1993. But it gained in popularity when Low Volatility was "discovered" by Haugen and Baker in 2012. They provided evidence that investing in low-volatility stocks yielded superior risk-adjusted returns, contrary to conventional wisdom. Candriam has already discussed this so-called anomaly in a previous paper, where we combined it with Quality screening (Van de Maele and Jallet 2015). Although most research covers the equity markets, smart beta within corporate bond investing is starting to gain traction. Especially the paper of Houweling and van Zundert in the Financial Analyst Journal (Second Quarter 2017) described relevant factors for corporate bond investing.

Most existing Smart Beta portfolios are based on one single factor (either Value, Quality, Momentum, Low Volatility or Size). However, real diversification benefits exist when different factors are combined in one portfolio. In this analysis, we combine Value, Low Volatility, Size and Momentum.

The table below indicates the measures used to define Value, Low Volatility, Size and Momentum.

- Low Volatility: DTS, Duration \* Spread
- Size: Aggregate Market Debt of the Issuer
- Momentum: Past 6 Months Credit Spread return (Excess return versus duration-matched government bonds)
- Value: Fair value of the OAS, calculated with a cross-sectional regression based on Time to Maturity, Rating and 3M Spread Change



#### FIGURE 7: SHARP RATIO BY QUINTILE - LOW VOLATILITY FACTOR

Sources: Candriam, Bloomberg, Factset



FIGURE 8: Sharpe Ratio by Quintile – Size Factor

Sources: Candriam, Bloomberg, Factset

FIGURE 9: Annualized Credit Excess Returns by Quintile – Momentum Factor



Sources: Candriam, Bloomberg, Factset



Sources: Candriam, Bloomberg, Factset

To illustrate the added value of the aforementioned four factors, portfolios were created for each of the individual factors. These portfolios were created by ranking each bond within its universe at factor level. Bonds were then classified in quintiles, with the Q1 portfolios invested in the first quintile and the Q5 portfolios in the last quintile (with an equal bond weighting in each quintile).

Regarding Low Volatility and Size Factors, we can observe a decreasing Sharpe Ratio in function of the Quintile, top-ranked Bonds get a higher Sharpe Ratio. The Momentum Factor plot shows the Annualized Credit Excess Returns by Quintile, and the Value Factor the Long/Short Cumulative Credit Excess returns vs Treasuries.

Subsequently, the combination of the factors is calculated. It turns out that the multi-factor approach undeniably adds value in all regions, with both Annual Excess Returns and Sharpe Ratios declining in accordance with the Quintile.



Sources: Candriam, Bloomberg, Factset



Sources: Candriam, Bloomberg, Factset

## 4. PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

TABLE 1: Composite Quintile / Drift								
1	2	3	4	5				
+10bps	+5bps	Neutral	- 5bps	- 10bps				
Source:								

The last step in the portfolio construction process involves putting all these steps together. In essence, the factor tilts need to be applied to the fundamental weightings. In order to do so, the following drifts are applied by quintile to the fundamental weightings and then rebased to 100%.

#### **4.1 Historical Performance**

The graphs and table below illustrate the performance of the combined methodology. Although the total return slightly lags the market-capitalization index due to a lower overal duration exposure, the excess return versus duration-matched government bonds is substantially positive. Also, the Sharpe ratio and Historical VaR are better than the market-capitalization index.



Sources: Candriam, BofAML



Sources: Candriam, BofAML

TABLE 2: SRI Indices – Statistical Returns Table								
	Candriam SRI Index (EUR figures)	Market Cap Universe (EUR figures)	Candriam SRI Index (USA figures)	Market Cap Universe (USA figures)				
Annualized Return	4.15%	4.26%	5.17%	5.19%				
Annualized Return vs Treasuries	0.79%	0.62%	0.76%	0.28%				
Annualized Std Dev	3.4%	3.78%	4.76%	5.7%				
Annualized Sharpe (Rf=0%)	1.2197	1.128	1.0868	0.9111				
Historical VaR (95%)	-1.14%	-1.33%	-1.09%	-1.63%				
Tracking Error	1.01%		2.12%					

Sources: Candriam, BofAML

### 5. CONCLUSION

Based on the above analysis, Candriam is convinced that this portfolio construction methodology is highly valuable for bond investors seeking to match or even outperform the broad corporate bond market, but who cares about Sustainable and Responsible Investing. It shows that both objectives are not mutually exclusive.

Also, the most recent "live" performance of the indices (since the end of 2015, when the backtest ended) confirms the excellent risk-adjusted characteristics. The graphs below show the performance of the index compared to the broad corporate bond market.

The Risk and Performance Measures in table 3 also indicate a higher Sharpe Ratio, whereas Historical VaR is slightly reduced.



Sources: Candriam, BofAML

### Smart SRI corporate bond investing: Combining ESG criteria with factor investing in Fixed Income



Sources: Candriam, BofAML



Sources: Candriam, BofAML

TABLE 3: SRI Indices - Statistical Returns Table							
	Candriam SRI Index	Market Cap Universe					
Annualized Return	3.65%	3.87%					
Annualized Std Dev	1.88%	2.02%					
Annualized Sharpe (Rf=0%)	1.9471	1.9174					
Historical VaR (95%)	-0.19%	-0.21%					
Tracking Error	0.3%						

Sources: Candriam, BofAML

### 6. REFERENCES

- Ang, Andrew. 2014.
  Asset Management: A Systematic Approach to Factor Investing. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press
- Arnott, Robert D., Jason C. Hsu, and Philip Moore. 2005. "Fundamental Indexation." Financial Analysts Journal, 83–99.
- Bektic, Demir, Josef-Stefan Wenzler, Michael Wegener, Dirk Schiereck, and Timo Spielmann. 2016. "Extending FamaFrench Factors to Corporate Bond Markets." Working paper (October).
- Carhart, Mark M. 1997. "On Persistence in Mutual Fund Performance." The Journal of Finance, 57–82.
- Derwall, Jeroen, Joop Huij, and Gerben de Zwart. 2009. "The Short-Term Corporate Bond Anomaly." Working paper (January).
- Eugene Fama and Kenneth French. 1993.
  "Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds." Journal of Financial Economics, 3–56.
- Fama, Eugene, and Kenneth French. 2015. "A Five-Factor Asset Pricing Model." Journal of Financial Economics, 1–22.
- Frazzini, Andrea, and Lasse H. Pedersen. 2014. "Betting against Beta." Journal of Financial Economics, vol. 111, no. 1 (January): 1–25
- Gebhardt, William R., Soeren Hvidkjaer, and Bhaskaran Swaminathan. 2005. *"Stock and Bond Market Interaction: Does Momentum Spill Over?"* Journal of Financial Economics, vol. 75, no. 3 (March): 651–690.
- Grinold, Richard C. 1992.
  "Are Benchmark Portfolios Efficient ?" The Journal of Portfolio Management, 34–40.
- Haugen, Robert A., and Nardin L. Baker. 1991.
  "The Efficient Market Inefficiency of Capitalization-Weighted Stock Portfolios." The Journal of Portfolio Management, 35–40.
- Houweling, van Zundert. 2017. *"Factor Investing in the Corporate Bond Market."* Financial Analysts Journal, Second Quarter 2017
- Jostova, Gergana, Stanislava Nikolova, Alexander Philipov, and Christof W. Stahel. 2013. "Momentum in Corporate Bond Returns." Review of Financial Studies, vol. 26, no. 7 (July): 1649–1693.
- Koen Van de Maele and Sebastien Jallet. 2015.
  "A New Way to Invest in Stocks, Aiming for Lower Risk and Higher Quality."
- Robert A. Haugen and Nardin L. Baker. 2012. "Low Risk Stocks Outperform Within All Observable Markets of the World." The Journal of Portfolio Management, 35–40.

### CONTACT US: contact.candriam.com

This document is provided for information purposes only, it does not constitute an offer to buy or sell financial instruments, nor does it represent an investment recommendation or confirm any kind of transaction, except where expressly agreed. Although Candriam selects carefully the data and sources within this document, errors or omissions cannot be excluded a priori. Candriam cannot be held liable for any direct or indirect losses as a result of the use of this document. The intellectual property rights of Candriam must be respected at all times, contents of this document may not be reproduced without prior written approval.

Warning: Past performances of a given financial instrument or index or an investment service, or simulations of past performances, or forecasts of future performances are not reliable indicators of future performances. Gross performances may be impacted by commissions, fees and other expenses. Performances expressed in a currency other than that of the investor's country of residence are subject to exchange rate fluctuations, with a negative or positive impact on gains. If the present document refers to a specific tax treatment, such information depends on the individual situation of each investor and may change.

The present document does not constitute investment research as defined by Article 24, paragraph 1 of the Commission Directive 2006/73/EC. Candriam stresses that this information has not been prepared in compliance with the legal provisions promoting independent investment research, and that it is not subject to any restriction prohibiting the execution of transactions prior to the dissemination of investment research.

Candriam consistently recommends investors to consult via our website www.candriam.com the key information document, the prospectus, and all other relevant information prior to investing in one of our funds. These documents are available either in English or in local languages for each country where the fund's marketing is approved.



More information: www.candriam.com